



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,147	10/26/2001	Hung T. Nguyen	01-626	3563	
24319 75	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE			MEONSKE	MEONSKE, TONIA L	
MS: D-106	LAND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS, CA 95035			2181		
			DATE MAN ED 02/07/000		

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/066,147	NGUYEN, HUNG T.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tonia L. Meonske	2181

	Tonia L. Meonske	2181	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the contractions of	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6). ONLY CHECK BOX (6) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c)  ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuous content and the conte			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Ю(s)	
13.  Other:	) den	ry from	. 1/31/06
			[ SA ]
		PRIMARY EXAM	HNER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

**Application No. 10/066,147** 

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly presented claim limitation in claims 1, 8 and 15, "derived from a priority of functional units in said processor", would require a further search and/or consideration.